

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address A MMISSI NER FOR PATENTS PO Bev 1450 Alexandra Vignus 22413 1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09 890,972	10 12 2001	Stefaan Vanheesbeke	КОВ	9589		
75	90 06.17.2003					
James C Wray Suite 300 1493 Chain Bridge Road			EXAMINER			
			DINKINS, ANTHONY			
McLean, VA 22101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2831			
			DATE MAILED: 06-17.2003	DATE MAILED: 06-17.2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.		pplicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/890,972		VANHEESBEKE, STEFAAN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anthony Dinkins	1	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2001 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-f	inal.					
3) Disposition	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
		election require	ement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers								
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	• <u> </u>						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	* wally the flash all and a different.			÷ , .	100			
a. [ ↑ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received 15). Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2- Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statementis (PTO-1449) Paper Nois) 4	5, 🗌	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other					
on Carpert and the	4 tan ark TH A							

Application/Control Number: 09/890,972 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. Abstract should not contain legal phraseology e.g., lines 2, 4, 10, etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

  See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- Regarding claim 1, the phrase "for oxample" renders the elgin in definition.

  Lis unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,972 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

6. Regarding claim 1, "this reference current intensity" is not clear to the examiner as to what exactly applicant is relying on as "this" since such terminology is vague and infinite to the examiner.

- 7. Regarding claim 1, lines 20 and 22, "that solenoid" is not clear to the examiner as to what exactly applicant is relying on as "that" since such terminology is vague and infinite to the examiner.
- 8. Regarding claims 2-13, they too are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they depend directly or indirectly from base claim 1.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claim 2-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 1-13, the allowability in combination with the other claimed features is because nowhere in the prior art is there a device for energizing a number of solenoids of a hook selection device having a current regulator of each solenoid in order to reduce a deviation between the actual current intensity in the solenoid and the reference current intensity measured by the comparator of the solenoid

Art Unit: 2831

#### Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gotoh 4,283,748

Kates et al. 5,864,457

McGinley 5,540,261

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Dinkins whose telephone number is (703) 308-0488. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (703) 308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Anthony Dinkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2831

**AD**June 4 2003

CONVENINKINE COMARY EXAMINES

· fort Coul Diken